

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/009,016 03/12/2002		03/12/2002	Heikki Hyoty	U 013757-2	2116
140	7590	10/18/2005		EXAMINER	
LADAS &		~~	MOSHER, MARY		
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-, ···			1648	
				1046	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/009,016	HYOTY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)□	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 July 2005</u> . 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1 and 12-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,12-19,21 and 31-35 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20,22-25,27-30 and 36-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
	•						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing of the d	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Amasha -	(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							

A 111 11 4040

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 26, 2005 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1, 12-19, 21, 31-35 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/22/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 20, 22-25, 27-30, 36-45 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, for reasons similar to those in the previous Office action. The claims have been amended to recite "reducing the risk of contracting IDDM" in a high-risk individual. The specification does present evidence that the risk of contracting IDDM in a large population does decrease with prenatal administration of OPV, and there is no apparent reason to doubt an assertion that the effect would persist with a second post-natal administration of OPV; therefore the rejection of claim 26 is withdrawn. However, the specification does not provide

Page 3

evidence regarding the effect of OPV vaccination on high-risk individuals. Hviid et al (New England Journal of Medicine 350 (14): 1398-1404) provides evidence that one category of high-risk children (those with at least one sibling with IDDM) show increased risk of IDDM with OPV vaccination, and that the risk increases with repeated vaccination. See Table 2 of the reference, the bottom section of the table. Applicant's arguments include a summary of an OPV trial among genetically at-risk children with differing results. However, the arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record; the summary is presented as argument, not as documented factual evidence (e.g. a printed publication, declaration, or affidavit). Therefore, the evidence on the record still would lead one skilled in the art to doubt the specification's unsupported assertion of reduced risk of IDDM in high-risk individuals.

Response to Amendment

The rejections over prior art are withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 26 is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,016 Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/17/05

MARY E. MOSHER, PH.D.

Many hole